| 1 2 3 4 5 | | | NUTES OF THE REGULAR LE PLANNING COMMISSION | |
|-----------------------|----|--|---|---------|
| 6 7 | | | November 21, 2016 | |
| 8 9 10 | A. | CALL TO ORDER: 7:02 | P.M. | |
| 11 | B. | PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANO | CE AND ROLL CALL: | |
| 12 13 14 | | Commissioners Present: | Brooks, Hartley, Martinez-Rubin, Thompson, Kurrent | Chair |
| 15 16 | | Commissioners Absent: | Tave, Wong | |
| 17 18 19 | | Staff Present: | Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager Eric Casher, City Attorney's Office | |
| 20 21 | C. | CITIZENS TO BE HEARI | <u>D</u> : | |
| 22 23 | | There were no citizens to | be heard. | |
| 24 25 | D. | CONSENT CALENDAR: | | |
| 26 27 | | 1. Planning Commiss | sion Meeting Minutes from October 24, 2016 | |
| 28 29 30 | | MOTION to approve the I 2016, as submitted. | Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from Octob | oer 24, |
| 31 32 33 34 | | MOTION: Brooks | SECONDED: Thompson APPROVED: 4 ABSTAIN: H ABSENT: Tave, | artley |
| 35 36 | E. | PUBLIC HEARINGS: | | |
| 37 38 39 | | | Zoning Code Amendments Concerning Appeals of Planning Commission Actions | City |
| 40 41 42 43 | | Request: | Consideration of a Zoning Code Text Amendm Chapter 17.10 of the Municipal Code modifying Zoning Code appeal process for City Council me | ng the |
| 44 45 | | Applicant: | City of Pinole | |

Location: Citywide

Project Staff: Eric Casher and Winston Rhodes

Planning Manager Winston Rhodes presented the staff report dated November 21, 2016, and identified documents presented to the Planning Commission on the dais including a sheet to identify slight modifications to Section 17.10.070(C) of Chapter 17.10 of the Pinole Municipal Code (PMC), which differed from information staff had included in the staff report; hard copy of staff's PowerPoint presentation; an excerpt from the October 4, 2016 City Council meeting minutes; and the October 24, 2016 staff report the City Council had received on that date.

Eric Casher, representing the City Attorney's Office, provided the PowerPoint presentation on the proposed Zoning Text Amendment, detailed the background of the City Council's direction, and presented the outcome of the City Council Subcommittee's discussions and recommendation. The Subcommittee had recommended that a member of the City Council may submit an appeal of any action taken by the Planning Commission and that the appeal be consistent with, and equivalent to, a citizen appeal, which was a change from the existing PMC requirement for City Council appeals. The City Council considered the recommendations and directed staff to prepare a text amendment to modify the existing procedure to indicate that Councilmember appeals shall be processed in the same manner as an individual appeal from a member of the public.

Mr. Casher recommended that the Planning Commission adopt Resolution 16-13, recommending that the City Council amend Chapter 17.10 of the PMC to update and revise the appeal process for Councilmembers in the City of Pinole.

Both Mr. Casher and Mr. Rhodes responded to questions from the Commission on the proposed text amendment including the background and rationale for the City Council's direction; knowledge of two prior appeals of Planning Commission actions over the past several years; clarification of the legal basis for an appeal; clarification of the provisions of the Brown Act related to City Council members appeals of actions of the Planning Commission; and further details from the City Council Subcommittee discussions.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

The Planning Commission discussed the item and offered the following feedback and/or input to staff:

• Discussed that the City Council consider some mechanism to address the

number of appeals by a Councilmember annually, and address the cost issue as to whether it was an actual or perceived barrier to well-meaning appeals. (Hartley, Thompson)

- Discussed language to address whether an appeal is based on significant material effects on safety or significant quality of life issues within the City, without fees or cost, but be required to make the findings for the appeal with an objective, rather than a subjective, reason for an appeal. (Kurrent)
- Discussed that a City Council member be allowed to file an appeal absent fees or cost for the first year (in recognition that the current system had not been abused by the Council), but did not want the potential text amendment to pose a conflict for a member of the City Council in the future if fees were imposed. (Thompson)
- Recognized the adoption of the fee schedule was under the purview of the City Council, and staff affirmed the City Council had discussed a fee high enough to discourage frivolous challenges but potentially lower for members of the Council. (Hartley)
- Stated a member of the City Council should not have to pay an appeal fee. (Thompson)
- Stated that the City Council consider language whereby the remaining four members of the City Council could consider a waiver of the appeal fee based on the justification of the appeal. (Kurrent)
- Requested that staff research city council appeal policies in other cities; suggested the PMC text amendment could cause more problems than it would solve; there was a lack of clarity on the issue to make a decision at this time not only on the appeal fees, but with the use of the term "legal" in Exhibit A; understood the appeal fee could be refundable if the appeal was successful, but suggested the term "successful" be better defined; and disagreed a member of the City Council should be required to do more than the general public. (Thompson)
- Suggested the proposed PMC text amendment language would provide clarification to the current text. (Hartley)
- Verified the refundable fee clause was to protect against frivolous appeals and ensure objective appeals. (Kurrent)
- Requested that the first sentence of Page 4, Filing an Appeal, Section 17.10.070(C), to be revised to remove the term "legal," although staff noted

the term had been added at the direction of the City Council. (Thompson)

- Suggested that the first sentence of Page 4 be amended to read: Filing an Appeal. All appeals shall be submitted in writing, identifying the determination or action being appealed and specifically stating the grounds or legal basis for the appeal. (Kurrent)
- Suggested that the public-at-large section of the PMC related to appeals be revised with the same language. (Thompson)

MOTION to adopt Resolution 16-13, with Exhibit A, Zoning Code Amendments, A Resolution of the City of Pinole, Planning Commission Recommending that the City Council Approve A Zoning Code Amendment Modifying the Zoning Code Appeals Process (ZCA 16-03), subject to a revision to the first sentence of Page 4, Section C. Filing an Appeal to read:

C. Filing an Appeal. All appeals shall be submitted in writing, identifying the determination or action being appealed and specifically stating the grounds or legal basis for the appeal.

MOTION: Martinez-Rubin SECONDED: Brooks APPROVED: 4-1-2

NOES: Thompson ABSENT: Tave, Wong

F. OLD BUSINESS: None

G. **NEW BUSINESS**: None

H. CITY PLANNER'S / COMMISSIONERS' REPORT:

Mr. Rhodes updated the Planning Commission on the registration for the Planning Commission training at Sonoma State University scheduled for December 3, 2016. The next meeting of the Planning Commission would include the calendar for Planning Commission meetings for 2017 and possibly two to three other agenda items.

Commissioner Thompson asked staff to inquire whether the City's Information Technology (IT) personnel could use a live link or a printed URL to allow easier access to Planning Commission information online.

Chair Kurrent wished everyone a Happy Thanksgiving.

- 43 I. **COMMUNICATIONS**: None
- **J. NEXT MEETING**:

| 1 | | The next meeting of the Dienning Commission will be a Degular Meeting to be |
|--------|-----|--|
| 3 | | The next meeting of the Planning Commission will be a Regular Meeting to be held on Monday, December 12, 2016 at 7:00 P.M. |
| 4 | K. | ADJOURNMENT: 8:30 P.M |
| 6 | rx. | ADJOURINE . 0.30 1 .IVI |
| 7 | | Transcribed by: |
| 8 9 | | |
| 10 | | Anita L. Tucci-Smith |
| 11 | | Transcriber |